

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

STEVEN CHARLES TINSLEY,)
Petitioner,)
V.) Civil No. **05-224-JPG**
S. REVELL,)
Respondent.)

ORDER

PROUD, Magistrate Judge:

Before the Court is petitioner's "Motion for Instanter" (**Doc. 2**), which was filed simultaneously with the petition for writ of habeas corpus (**Doc. 1**). Petitioner asks that the Court grant the petition, and he includes what appear to be exhibits from the State court record.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court have the record reflect that petitioner's "Motion for Instanter" (**Doc. 2**) is not a motion at all; rather, it is construed as exhibits in support of the petition (**Doc. 1**). The petition will be decided in due course, after consideration of the parties' briefs.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 21, 2006

s/ Clifford J. Proud
CLIFFORD J. PROUD
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE